Effective Temperature in Athermal Systems Sheared at Fixed Normal Load

Ning Xu¹ and Corey S. O'Hern^{1,2}

¹Department of Mechanical Engineering, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut 06520-8284, USA ²Department of Physics, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut 06520-8120, USA (Received 1 September 2004; published 7 February 2005)

We perform molecular dynamics simulations of repulsive athermal systems sheared at fixed normal load to study the effective temperature T_L defined from time-dependent fluctuation-dissipation relations for density. We show that these systems possess two distinct regimes as a function of the ratio T_S/V of the granular temperature to the potential energy per particle. At small T_S/V , these systems are pressure controlled and T_L is set by the normal load. In contrast, they behave as quasiequilibrium systems with $T_L \approx T_S$ that increases with the shear rate at large T_S/V . These results point out several problems with using T_L in thermodynamic descriptions of slowly sheared athermal systems.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.055701

Athermal and glassy systems driven by shear or other external forces are by definition not in thermal equilibrium. Fluctuations in these systems are induced by the driving force and do not arise from random thermal motion. However, there are obvious similarities between equilibrium thermal systems and driven systems that reach a nonequilibrium steady state. In fact, there have been many attempts to develop thermodynamic and statistical descriptions [1] of these systems including kinetic theories for driven granular gases [2], the Edward's entropy formalism for granular packings [3,4], and applications of equilibrium linear response and fluctuation-dissipation (FD) relations [5] to define effective temperatures in aging [6,7] and sheared glasses [8,9] and compacting [10,11] and sheared granular materials [12,13].

The approach that employs FD relations to define effective temperatures in athermal and glassy systems has shown great promise. First, effective temperatures from FD relations for several quantities such as density and pressure have been shown to be the same [8,14]. Second, FD relations can be applied to dense systems with elastic particles in contrast to other approaches. FD relations can also be measured experimentally in athermal systems such as foams and granular materials [15]. However, it is still not clear whether effective temperatures from FD relations can be used in thermodynamic descriptions of dense shear flows. Many important questions remain unanswered. For example, what variables should be used to construct an equation of state, and do effective temperature gradients generate heat flow? We conduct molecular dynamics (MD) simulations of sheared, athermal systems to begin to address these questions.

Nearly all numerical simulations that have measured FD relations in sheared athermal and glassy systems have been performed at constant volume. We conduct our simulations at constant normal load (or external pressure), instead, and this has several advantages. First, it has been shown that sheared, athermal systems behave differently in these two ensembles. At constant normal load, these systems can dilate in response to an applied shear stress [16,17]. We PACS numbers: 64.70.Pf, 05.70.Ln, 61.20.Lc, 83.50.Ax

determine how the ability to dilate affects FD relations and properties of effective temperatures defined from them. Simulations at constant normal load also enable us to determine whether the effective temperature is more sensitive to changes in pressure or shear stress.

Our principal result is that the effective temperature T_L defined from FD relations for density is controlled by pressure in slowly sheared athermal systems. We find that for all shear rates below $\dot{\gamma}_c$, the effective temperature is independent of shear rate at fixed normal load. Above $\dot{\gamma}_c$, T_L increases with shear rate and as $\dot{\gamma}$ increases further the systems obey quasiequilibrium FD relations at all times. The characteristic shear rate that separates the pressurecontrolled from the quasiequilibrium regime can be estimated using the ratio of kinetic to potential energy [13]. When the ratio is small, a strong force network exists and the effective temperature is set by the pressure. When kinetic energy dominates, velocity fluctuations are large, which causes frequent rearrangements, diffusion, and quasiequilibrium behavior.

We now provide the essential details of the MD simulations. The systems contained N/2 large and N/2 small particles with equal mass m and diameter ratio 1.4. The particles interacted via one of the following pairwise, purely repulsive potentials:

$$V^{S}(r_{ij}) = \frac{\epsilon}{\alpha} (1 - r_{ij}/\sigma_{ij})^{\alpha}, \qquad (1)$$

$$W^{\text{RLJ}}(r_{ij}) = \frac{\epsilon}{72} [(\sigma_{ij}/r_{ij})^{12} - 2(\sigma_{ij}/r_{ij})^6 + 1],$$
 (2)

where ϵ is the characteristic energy scale and $\sigma_{ij} = (\sigma_i + \sigma_j)$ $\sigma_i)/2$ and r_{ii} are the average diameter and separation of particles *i* and *j*. The repulsive linear ($\alpha = 2$) and Hertzian $(\alpha = 5/2)$ spring potentials [Eq. (1)] have been used to model granular materials [18,19]. In contrast to Eq. (1), the repulsive Lennard-Jones (RLJ) potential [Eq. (2)] has an infinite repulsive core at small r_{ij} . Both interaction potentials are zero for $r_{ij} \ge \sigma_{ij}$.

For athermal dynamics, the position and velocity of each particle in the bulk is obtained by solving

$$m\frac{d^{2}\vec{r}_{i}}{dt^{2}} = \vec{F}_{i}^{r} - b\sum_{j}(\vec{v}_{i} - \vec{v}_{j}), \qquad (3)$$

where $\vec{F}_i^r = -\sum_j dV(r_{ij})/dr_{ij}\hat{r}_{ij}$ is the total repulsive force on particle *i*, \vec{v}_i is the velocity of particle *i*, b > 0 is the damping constant, and sums over *j* include only particles overlapping particle *i*. Shear is imposed by moving a rough and disordered top boundary in the *x* direction at fixed speed *u*, while a similar bottom wall a distance L_y away remains stationary.

To sustain a fixed normal load P_{ext} in the y direction, the top boundary was moved rigidly according to

$$M\frac{d^2L_y}{dt^2} = F_y^w - F_{\text{ext}} - b\sum_j \left(\frac{dL_y}{dt} - v_{yj}\right), \qquad (4)$$

where *M* is the mass of the top wall, F_y^w is the total repulsive force acting on the top wall from interactions with particles in the bulk, and $F_{ext} = P_{ext}L_xL_z$ ($P_{ext}L_x$) is the external normal force applied to the top wall in 3D (2D). After an initial transient at each *u* and P_{ext} , forces in the *y* direction balance on average, the system fluctuates about $\langle L_y \rangle$, and a linear shear flow is established with shear rate $\dot{\gamma} = u/\langle L_y \rangle$. Relatively small system sizes N = 1024were studied to inhibit the formation of nonlinear velocity profiles [20]. Periodic boundary conditions were implemented in the *x* (*z*) direction. We use σ , ϵ , and $\sigma \sqrt{m/\epsilon}$, where σ is the small particle diameter, as the units of length, energy, and time, respectively. All quantities are expressed in reduced units below.

In equilibrium systems, the fluctuation-dissipation theorem requires that the autocorrelation function of a physical quantity is proportional at *all* times *t* to that quantity's response to a small conjugate perturbation, and the proportionality constant gives the temperature. Response and correlation are not proportional at all times in sheared glassy and athermal systems [5]. However, several recent studies of FD relations for density in these systems have shown that they can still be used to define an effective temperature that characterizes shear-induced fluctuations at long-time scales [8,9]. Similar measurements in 3D sheared athermal systems, but at fixed normal load, are displayed in Fig. 1(a). We plot the integrated response $R(t) = \langle \rho(\vec{k}, t) - \rho(\vec{k}, 0) \rangle_{\lambda} / \lambda$ of the density of the large particles at wave vector $\vec{k} = k_0 \hat{z}$,

$$\rho(\vec{k},t) = \frac{1}{N_L} \sum_{j=1}^{N_L} e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}_j(t)}$$
(5)

in the presence of perturbing forces $\nabla_j(\lambda \rho(\vec{k}, t))$ applied to the *j*th large particle for times $t \ge 0$ versus the density autocorrelation function $C(t) = \langle \rho(\vec{k}, t)\rho(-\vec{k}, 0) \rangle_{\lambda=0}$ [21]. Figure 1 shows that R(t) versus C(t) for $\rho(\vec{k})$ can be non-



FIG. 1. (a) R(t) vs C(t) (solid lines) for density $\rho(\vec{k})$ at $\vec{k} = 9\hat{z}$ for 3D systems with RLJ interactions and b = 0.5 sheared at fixed normal load $P_{\text{ext}} = 0.1$. The dotted (dashed) lines have slope $-1/T_L$ ($-1/T_S$). Five shear rates are shown: $\dot{\gamma} = 0.53$, 0.30, 0.07, 7.7 × 10⁻³, and 2.0 × 10⁻⁴ from bottom to top. T_L is constant for $\dot{\gamma} < \dot{\gamma}_c \approx 0.2$. (b) Volume fraction ϕ vs $\dot{\gamma}$ for the same systems in (a). $\phi_{rcp} \approx 0.648$ for 3D bidisperse systems.

linear at short times in sheared athermal systems. In contrast, the slope of R(C) is constant at long times, which allows the long-time effective temperature T_L to be defined as

$$-1/T_L = \frac{dR}{dC} \bigg|_{C \to 0}.$$
 (6)

Previous studies have shown that T_L does not depend strongly on the magnitude [8] or direction of \vec{k} [9]. The slope of R(C) for $\rho(\vec{k})$ at $\vec{k} = k_0 \hat{z}$ in the $t \to 0$ limit is $-1/T_S$, where $T_S = m\langle v_z^2 \rangle$ is the granular temperature that measures fluctuations in the *z* component of the velocity.

In Fig. 1(a), we show R(C) for several shear rates at fixed normal load $P_{\text{ext}} = 0.1$. At low shear rates, the long-time slope of R(C) is independent of $\dot{\gamma}$. However, when $\dot{\gamma}$ increases above a characteristic shear rate $\dot{\gamma}_c$, the longtime slope of R(C) begins to decrease with increasing $\dot{\gamma}$. Thus, at constant normal load, T_L is constant for $\dot{\gamma} < \dot{\gamma}_c$ but increases for $\dot{\gamma} > \dot{\gamma}_c$. Figure 1(b) shows the variation of the volume fraction ϕ with $\dot{\gamma}$ for the systems in Fig. 1(a). To compensate for increases in normal force from increases in $\dot{\gamma}$, the systems expand in the y direction. Figure 1(b) emphasizes that effective temperatures depend on the time scale over which they are measured even in systems that are 20% below random close packing.

The results for R(C) for $\rho(k)$ at constant normal load presented in Fig. 1(a) are intriguing; they suggest that T_L is fixed by the pressure in slowly sheared systems. To investigate this question further, we compare T_S and T_L in systems sheared at constant normal (CN) load and constant volume (CV) fraction in Fig. 2. We find that T_S is insensitive to the choice of the ensemble; T_S scales as a power law in $\dot{\gamma}$ over 3 decades in both ensembles. However, the $\dot{\gamma}$ dependence of T_L at CN and CV differs significantly. T_L in the two ensembles were initially matched at $\dot{\gamma} \approx 10^{-4}$ and $P_{\rm ext} = 0.02$ by setting ϕ in the CV simulation equal to $\langle \phi \rangle$ from the simulation at fixed $P_{\text{ext}} = 0.02$. At fixed ϕ , T_L increases by more than a factor of 3 over the range of $\dot{\gamma}$ studied. The inset to Fig. 2(b) suggests that the steady rise in T_L is due to the shear-induced increase in the internal pressure P at CV. In contrast, T_L at fixed $P_{ext} = 0.02$ remains *constant* over the same range of $\dot{\gamma}$. T_L only begins to increase when $\dot{\gamma} > \dot{\gamma}_c$, which in this case is at least 3 decades above the quasistatic $\dot{\gamma}$ regime at CV.

To test the generality of these results, we performed measurements of R(C) for $\rho(\vec{k})$ at two values of the damping constant (b = 0.5 and 0.04), over a range of normal loads from $P_{\text{ext}} = 0.02$ to 10, and over 4 decades in shear rate [22]. The results are summarized in Fig. 3. Figure 3(a) shows that for each P_{ext} , there is a wide range of $\dot{\gamma}$ over which $T_L = T_L^0$ is constant. T_L begins to increase above a characteristic shear rate $\dot{\gamma}_c$, which decreases with normal



FIG. 2. (a) T_S and (b) T_L vs $\dot{\gamma}$ for 3D systems with RLJ interactions and b = 0.5 sheared at fixed $P_{\text{ext}} = 0.02$ (downward triangles) or fixed volume fraction $\phi = 0.68$ (leftward triangles). The dotted line in (a) has slope 1.4. The inset to (b) shows the internal pressure *P* vs $\dot{\gamma}$ at fixed $\phi = 0.68$.

load. Figure 3(b) shows that T_L begins to deviate from T_L^0 as T_S increases. In this regime, large velocity fluctuations give rise to frequent rearrangement events and particle diffusion. At each P_{ext} , there is a T_S (or $\dot{\gamma}$) regime where sheared athermal systems behave as quasiequilibrium systems with response proportional to correlation at all times and a single effective temperature $T_S \approx T_L$ that increases with shear rate. This nontrivial result is not found in sheared glasses because they are thermostated with T_S below the glass transition temperature and remain arbitrarily far from equilibrium as the shear rate is tuned.

We seek a general criterion to determine whether sheared athermal systems exist in the pressure-controlled or quasiequilibrium regime. As a first step, we consider the ratio β of the granular temperature T_S to the total potential energy per particle V. For each value of the damping



FIG. 3. T_L plotted vs (a) $\dot{\gamma}$ and (b) T_S . Panel (c) shows T_L/T_L^0 vs the ratio of T_S to the total potential energy per particle V. The results were obtained from 3D systems with RLJ interactions at fixed P_{ext} . Five loads [$P_{\text{ext}} = 0.02$ (downward triangles), 0.05 (upward triangles), 0.1 (diamonds), 0.5 (squares), and 1.0 (circles)] and three loads [$P_{\text{ext}} = 2$ (rightward triangles), 5 (leftward triangles), and 10 (small circles)] were studied at b = 0.5 (open symbols) and 0.04 (filled symbols), respectively. In (a), the dotted line defines the low shear rate value T_L^0 of the long-time effective temperature at $P_{\text{ext}} = 0.5$. In (b), $T_L = T_S$ is indicated by the dotted line. In (c), the dotted line identifies the ratio T_S/V above which T_L/T_L^0 begins to increase for these systems.



FIG. 4. T_L^0 vs P_{ext} for several sheared systems at b = 0.5: 3D RLJ (triangles), 2D RLJ (pluses), 3D linear spring (circles), and 3D Hertzian spring (squares). The dashed line has slope 0.85. $P_{\text{ext}} > 10^{-1} (10^{-2})$ were not considered for linear (Hertzian) springs because they caused unphysical overlaps.

constant *b*, we find that there is a range of normal loads $P_{\text{ext}} > P_{\text{ext}}^c$, where T_L/T_L^0 versus T_S/V is independent of P_{ext} , with P_{ext}^c increasing inversely with *b*. Figure 3(c) shows that there is a characteristic ratio $\beta_c \approx 0.1$ independent of *b* that separates the two regimes. These results also imply that the effective temperature T_L measured in sheared glasses is controlled by pressure since $T_S/V \ll 1$ in these systems [8]. The collapse of T_L/T_L^0 at large T_S/V occurs only in highly damped and compressed athermal systems; this surprising result will be discussed in greater detail elsewhere [23].

In Fig. 4 we plot the long-time effective temperature T_L^0 in the pressure-controlled regime vs P_{ext} for sheared athermal systems in 2D and 3D with repulsive linear and Hertzian spring and Lennard-Jones interactions. All of the data collapse onto a power law with exponent 0.85 \pm 0.02. If both the normal load [24] and T_L^0 scaled linearly with the yield stress of the material, the exponent in Fig. 4 would be 1. Our preliminary results indicate that the yield stress and pressure are proportional in these athermal systems [23], which implies that T_L^0 scales sublinearly with the yield stress.

We used MD simulations to study properties of the effective temperature T_L defined from FD relations for density in athermal systems sheared at fixed normal load. These systems possess two distinct regimes as a function of the ratio T_s/V of kinetic to potential energy. At small ratios, these systems are pressure controlled and T_L is set by the normal load. At large T_S/V , they behave as quasiequilibrium systems with $T_L \approx T_S$ that increases with shear rate. These results point out several difficulties in using T_L in thermodynamic descriptions of slowly sheared athermal systems. First, the variables T_L , pressure, and density alone do not provide a complete description of these systems since T_L and pressure can remain constant while density can vary substantially. Also, these results suggest that when two slowly sheared, athermal systems are placed in contact but maintained at different pressures, T_L in the two systems does not equilibrate. Thus, in this regime T_L does not behave as a true temperature variable. We are currently attempting to identify a set of variables that can be used in a thermodynamic description of dense granular shear flows.

We thank R. Behringer, L. Kondic, and A. Liu for helpful comments. Financial support from NASA Grant No. NAG3-2377 (N.X.) and Yale University (N.X. and C.S.O.) is gratefully acknowledged.

- J. Casas-Vazquez and D. Jou, Rep. Prog. Phys. 66, 1937 (2003).
- [2] J.T. Jenkins and M.W. Richman, Phys. Fluids 28, 3485 (1985).
- [3] S.F. Edwards and R.B.S. Oakeshott, Physica (Amsterdam) **157A**, 1080 (1989).
- [4] A. Coniglio, F. Fierro, and M. Nicodemi, Physica (Amsterdam) 302A, 193 (2001).
- [5] L. F. Cugliandolo, J. Kurchan, and L. Peliti, Phys. Rev. E 55, 3898 (1997).
- [6] J.-L. Barrat and W. Kob, Europhys. Lett. 46, 637 (1999).
- [7] R. Di Leonardo, L. Angelani, G. Parisi, and G. Ruocco, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 6054 (2000).
- [8] L. Berthier and J.-L. Barrat, J. Chem. Phys. 116, 6228 (2002).
- [9] C. S. O'Hern, A. J. Liu, and S. R. Nagel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 165702 (2004).
- [10] V. Colizza, A. Barrat, and V. Loreto, Phys. Rev. E 65, 050301(R) (2002).
- [11] A. Coniglio and M. Nicodemi, J. Phys. Condens. Matter 12, 6601 (2000).
- [12] H.A. Makse and J. Kurchan, Nature (London) 415, 614 (2002).
- [13] L. Kondic and R. P. Behringer, Europhys. Lett. 67, 205 (2004).
- [14] I. K. Ono, C. S. O'Hern, D. J. Durian, S. A. Langer, A. J. Liu, and S. R. Nagel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 095703 (2002).
- [15] G. D'Anna, P. Mayor, A. Barrat, V. Loreto, and F. Nori, Nature (London) 424, 909 (2003).
- [16] R. M. Nedderman, *Statics and Kinematics of Granular Materials* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1992).
- [17] P.A. Thompson and G.S. Grest, Phys. Rev. Lett. 67, 1751 (1991).
- [18] L.E. Silbert, D. Ertas, G.S. Grest, T.C. Halsey, and D. Levine, Phys. Rev. E 65, 031304 (2002).
- [19] S. Luding, Phys. Rev. E 55, 4720 (1994).
- [20] N. Xu, C. S. O'Hern, and L. Kondic, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 016001 (2005).
- [21] Large particles that were less than one large particle diameter from the boundaries were not included in Eq. (5) to avoid boundary effects; thus, $N_L < N/2$.
- [22] At each $\dot{\gamma}$ and P_{ext} , we verified that the response was in the linear regime and averaged over at least 500 independent configurations. We also calculated the response and correlation functions at two system sizes, N = 256 and 1024, to show that finite-size effects were small.
- [23] N. Xu and C. S. O'Hern (unpublished).
- [24] G. He and M.O. Robbins, Tribol. Lett. 10, 7 (2001).